

A public-site review built for the owner, not the agency deck.

This example shows the format and level of specificity delivered in a paid Website Trust Snapshot. Business, contact, URL, and order details have been removed.

Scope Public homepage, mobile path, contact, trust	Method Public evidence only, no login or private data	Delivery Three priority fixes in a plain-English PDF
--	---	--

Priority observations

01	Repair the contact path before buying more traffic. Observed: The public contact path showed placeholder details and no dependable form confirmation. A ready visitor could not tell whether the message had reached a real person. Fix: Replace placeholders, verify the phone and email links, and add a visible success state after form submission.
02	Make the first screen name one next step. Observed: The opening screen introduced the company, but the primary action competed with several links. On mobile, the visitor had to scan before knowing how to start. Fix: Choose one primary action for the first screen and repeat it after the first proof block.
03	Move proof closer to the decision. Observed: Useful proof appeared lower on the page and away from the contact action. The claims were visible, but their placement made them easy to miss. Fix: Place one specific proof point beside the primary action, then link to the full proof source.

What this sample does and does not claim

The observations above are redacted examples based on visible public-page conditions. They do not verify licensing, security, accessibility conformance, business performance, availability, or the quality of any service delivered. The paid snapshot is a focused buyer-path review, not a technical certification.